### **ARKANSAS**

#### **Contact Information**

William Keith, Water Quality Planning Branch Manager Jim Wise, Program Manager Chris Davidson, Water Quality Specialist Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) P.O. Box 8913 ■ Little Rock, AR 72219-8913 Phone 501/682-0656 ■ Fax 501/682-0910

email: Keith@adeq.state.ar.us, Wise@adeq.state.ar.us and Davidson@adeq.state.ar.us

ADEQ Water Division homepage: http://www.adeq.state.ar.us/water/



### **Program Description**

As part of the Water Division of the Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ), the Water Quality Planning Branch has seven biologists/ecologists and two geologists on staff. This branch deals with a variety of issues related to water quality monitoring, standards development, and groundwater and wasteload allocations. The Branch is responsible for conducting water quality surveys, assessing the State's water quality for surface and ground water, and 305(b) reporting. The Branch is also responsible for the development of water quality and biological criteria for water quality use attainability analysis and for water quality standards development. In addition, the Branch is responsible for developing TMDLs (303d) for those waters not meeting water quality standards. Finally, the Branch is responsible for the biomonitoring aspect of the NPDES program.

Biological and habitat monitoring are currently restricted to special project needs associated with synoptic watershed surveys or for the development of additional data to support the establishment of biological criteria. For the 2000 305(b) report, portions of 106 stream segments from 17 planning segments were assessed for aquatic life use support using biological communities. These stream segments were either located above or below a point source discharge, or were part of intensive water quality surveys. Survey objectives were to determine the impacts of the discharge, evaluate the biological community in ecoregional reference streams, determine use attainment in previously listed water bodies of concern or those waters not currently meeting all designated uses.

Macroinvertebrates were collected and evaluated following EPA's *Rapid Bioassessment Protocols* (USEPA 1989). Habitat considerations were used in the evaluation of the macroinvertebrate communities through percent comparability evaluation techniques at all sites. An upstream-downstream comparison of the communities, and a comparison of the community to a least disturbed reference stream were also used to make the assessments. Fish communities were analyzed following EPA's *Technical Support Manual: Waterbody Surveys and Assessments for Conducting Use Attainability Analysis* (USEPA 1983). Direct comparisons were made with ecoregional fish community data outlined in the Department's *Physical, Chemical, and Biological Characteristics of Least-Disturbed Reference Streams in Arkansas' Ecoregions, 1987.* In addition, an upstream-downstream comparison of the communities was made and compared to a least-disturbed reference stream.

#### **Documentation and Further Information**

Water Quality Inventory Report 2000, 305(b) Report: http://www.adeq.state.ar.us/water/pdfs/documents/305(b) 2000.pdf

2002 Proposed 303(d) List: http://www.adeq.state.ar.us/water/pdfs/documents/303(d) list proposed 020426.pdf

1998 Arkansas 303(d) List: http://www.adeg.state.ar.us/water/303drprt.htm

Water Quality Standards for Surface Waters, effective Feb.1998, amended January 2001: http://www.adeg.state.ar.us/regs/files/reg02\_final\_010917.pdf

Physical, Chemical, and Biological Characteristics of Least-Disturbed Reference Streams in Arkansas' Ecoregions, Volume 1: Data Compilation, and Volume 2: Data Analysis. ADEQ Water Division. 1987.

Water Quality Planning Branch, list of publications: http://www.adeg.state.ar.us/water/pdfs/documents/publist.pdf

## **ARKANSAS**

#### **Contact Information**

William Keith, Water Quality Planning Branch Manager Jim Wise, Program Manager Chris Davidson, Water Quality Specialist Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) P.O. Box 8913 ■ Little Rock, AR 72219-8913

Phone 501/682-0656 ■ Fax 501/682-0910

 $\textbf{email:} \ \underline{\textbf{Keith@adeq.state.ar.us}}, \underline{\textbf{Wise@adeq.state.ar.us}} \ \textbf{and} \ \underline{\textbf{Davidson@adeq.state.ar.us}}$ 



### **Programmatic Elements**

| Uses of bioassessment within overall water quality program | 1        | problem identification (screening)  |
|--|----------|---|
|  | ✓        | nonpoint source assessments   |
|  | 1        | monitoring the effectiveness of BMPs  |
|  | 1        | ALU determinations/ambient monitoring   |
|  | 1        | promulgated into state water quality standards as biocriteria   |
|  | 1        | support of antidegradation  |
|  | 1        | evaluation of discharge permit conditions   |
|  | <b>/</b> | TMDL assessment and monitoring  |
|  |          | other:  |
| Applicable monitoring designs                              | 1        | targeted (i.e., sites selected for specific purpose) (special projects and specific river basins or watersheds) |
|  | 1        | fixed station (i.e., water quality monitoring stations)   |
|  | П        | probabilistic by stream order/catchment area  |
|  |          | probabilistic by ecoregion, or statewide  |
|  | 1        | rotating basin  |
|  |          | other:  |

| Stream Miles   |                     |
|--|---------------------|
| Total miles (determined using RF3 and the National Hydrography Database) | 87,617              |
| Total perennial miles  | 28,408              |
| Total miles assessed for biology*  | 245 stream segments |
| fully supporting for 305(b)  | n/a                 |
| partially/non-supporting for 305(b)                                      | n/a                 |
| listed for 303(d)  | n/a                 |
| number of sites sampled (on an annual basis)                             | ~450                |
| number of miles assessed per site  | _                   |

<sup>\*</sup>Currently, biological monitoring occurs as either 1) part of intensive watershed survey where water quality problems have been previously identified; 2) part of a site specific survey, wasteload allocation; and 3) most recently as part of expanding ecoregion reference stream data. Biological data are not used to list any 303(d) waters.

## Aquatic Life Use (ALU) Designations and Decision-Making

| · ·   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| ALU designation basis   | Single Aquatic Life Use, Fishery Based Uses and Warm Water vs. Cold Water  |  |
| ALU designations in state water quality standards   | Two designations: Ecologically sensitive waterbodies protecting endangered, threatened, and endemic aquatic species. Fisheries are divided into Trout, Lakes and Reservoirs, and Streams (further subdivided by ecoregion).  |  |
| Narrative Biocriteria in WQS  | Procedures used to support narrative biocriteria are currently found in the project specific QAPP. Additional methods and SOPs are being developed. NOTE: The development of criteria and standards is ongoing.  |  |
| Numeric Biocriteria in WQS  | none   |  |
| Uses of bioassessment data in integrated assessments with other environmental data (e.g., toxicity testing and chemical specific criteria)      | <ul> <li>✓ assessment of aquatic resources</li> <li>✓ cause and effect determinations</li> <li>✓ permitted discharges</li> <li>monitoring (e.g., improvements after mitigation)</li> <li>✓ watershed based management</li> </ul>                                     |  |
| Uses of bioassessment/<br>biocriteria in making<br>management decisions<br>regarding restoration of<br>aquatic resources to a<br>designated ALU | Currently, baseline data has been collected from numerous locations prior to BMP implementation and NPDES limit changes. Follow-up monitoring has occurred at some locations below point sources. No follow-up monitoring has occurred at nonpoint source locations. |  |

# **Reference Site/Condition Development**

| Number of reference sites       | 75  | total  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| Reference site                  | 1   | site-specific  |
| determinations                  | ✓   | paired watersheds  |
|                                 | <b>\</b>  | regional (aggregate of sites)  |
|                                 | <b>\</b>  | professional judgment  |
|                                 | <b>√</b>  | other: upstream/downstream   |
| Reference site criteria         | Water quality and habitat is typical of background ecoregion conditions. Watershed is somewhat undisturbed. |  |
| Characterization of reference   | ✓   | historical conditions  |
| sites within a regional context | ✓   | least disturbed sites  |
|                                 |   | gradient response  |
|                                 | <b>\</b>  | professional judgment  |
|                                 |   | other:   |
| Stream stratification within    | <b>\</b>  | ecoregions (or some aggregate)   |
| regional reference conditions   |   | elevation  |
|                                 | <b>\</b>  | stream type  |
|                                 |   | multivariate grouping  |
|                                 |   | jurisdictional (i.e., statewide)   |
|                                 | ✓   | other: watershed size, habitat, water quality  |
| Additional information          | ✓   | reference sites linked to ALU  |
|                                 | <b>\</b>  | reference sites/condition referenced in water quality standards (found in ADPC&E 1987 - WQ87-06-01 & 02) |
|                                 | ✓   | some reference sites represent acceptable human-induced conditions                                       |

### Field and Lab Methods

| Assemblages assessed               | benthos (100-500 samples/year; single season, multiple sites - watershed level and broad coverage; multiple seasons, multiple sites)  fish (<100 samples/year; single season, multiple sites - watershed level and broad coverage)  periphyton other: |  |
|------------------------------------|---|--|
| Benthos                            |   |  |
| sampling gear                      | D-frame; 200-400 micron mesh  |  |
| habitat selection                  | riffle/run (cobble), multihabitat and woody debris  |  |
| subsample size                     | 100 count   |  |
| taxonomy                           | combination - family, genus and species   |  |
| Fish                               |   |  |
| sampling gear                      | backpack and boat electrofisher, pram unit (tote barge) and seine; 3/16" and 1/4" mesh  |  |
| habitat selection                  | pool/glide, riffle/run (cobble), and multihabitat   |  |
| sample processing                  | anomalies   |  |
| subsample                          | whole samples are sorted and identified to species  |  |
| taxonomy                           | species and life stage  |  |
| Habitat assessments                | visual based with limited quantitative measurements and hydrogeomorphology, pebble counts, flows and canopy cover; performed with bioassessments  |  |
| Quality assurance program elements | quality assurance plan, periodic meetings and training for biologists, sorting and taxonomic proficiency checks, specimen archival, and standard operating procedures (in development stage)  |  |

# **Data Analysis and Interpretation**

| •   | •  |  |
|---|--|--|
| Data analysis tools and methods             | <ul> <li>✓ summary tables, illustrative graphs         <ul> <li>parametric ANOVAs</li> <li>✓ multivariate analysis</li> <li>✓ biological metrics (aggregate metrics into an index and return single metrics - use endpoint for each single metric)</li> <li>✓ disturbance gradients</li> <li>other:</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |  |
| Multimetric thresholds                      |  |  |
| transforming metrics into unitless scores   | As a percent of either the reference site or based on ecoregion data dependent upon standard deviation units   |  |
| defining impairment in a multimetric index  | As a percent of either the reference site or based on ecoregion data dependent upon standard deviation units   |  |
| Multivariate thresholds                     |  |  |
| defining impairment in a multivariate index | As a percent of either the reference site or based on ecoregion data dependant upon standard deviation units   |  |
| Evaluation of performance                   | repeat sampling  |  |
| characteristics                             | precision  |  |
| Not currently evaluated                     | sensitivity  |  |
|   | bias   |  |
|   | accuracy   |  |
| Biological data                             |  |  |
| Storage                                     | Microsoft databases  |  |
| Retrieval and analysis                      | none   |  |
|   |  |  |